arXiv:1203.0759v5 [math.CV] 13 Dec 2012

AN ANDREOTTI-GRAUERT THEOREM WITH L^r ESTIMATES.

ERIC AMAR

Résumé. Par un théorème d'Andréotti-Grauert, si ω est un (p,q) courant, $q<n$, dans une variété de Stein, $\bar\partial$ fermé et à support compact, il existe une solution u à $\bar\partial u=\omega$ également à support compact. Le résultat principal de ce travail est de montrer que si, de plus, $\omega\in L^r(\Omega,dm)$, où m est une mesure de Lebesgue convenable sur Ω, alors on a une solution u à $\bar\partial u=\omega$ à support compact *et* dans $L^r(\Omega,dm)$. On le montre grâce à des estimées dans des espaces $L^r(\Omega)$ à poids.

Dans une deuxième partie on montre directement des estimations globales $L^{r,loc}(\Omega)-L^{r,loc}(\Omega)$ pour des solutions de l'équation $\bar\partial$ dans les variétés de Stein. Cela redonne, encore par dualité, une autre preuve du résultat principal.

Abstract. By a theorem of Andreotti and Grauert if ω is a (p,q) current, $q<n$, in a Stein manifold, $\bar\partial$ closed and with compact support, then there is a solution u to $\bar\partial u=\omega$ still with compact support. The main result of this work is to show that if moreover $\omega\in L^r(dm)$, where m is a suitable Lebesgue measure on the Stein manifold, then we have a solution u with compact support *and* in $L^r(dm)$. We prove it by estimates in L^r spaces with weights.

In a second part, we prove directly that there are global $L^{r,loc}(dm)-L^{r,loc}(dm)$ solutions for the $\bar\partial$ equation on Stein manifolds. This gives, again by duality, another proof for the main result.

1. Introduction.

Let ω be a $\bar\partial$ closed (p,q) form in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with compact support $K:=\operatorname{Supp}\omega$ and such that $\omega\in L^r(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Setting K in a ball $\mathbb{B}:=B(0,\ R)$ with R big enough, we know, by a theorem of Ovrelid [10], that we have a $(p,q-1)$ form $u\in L^r(\mathbb{B})$ such that $\bar\partial u=\omega$. On the other hand we also know, at least when $q<n$, that there is a current v with compact support such that $\bar\partial v=\omega$, by a theorem of Andreotti-Grauert [3].
So a natural question is : may we have a solution u of $\bar\partial u=\omega$ with compact support *and* in $L^r(\mathbb{C}^n)$?

We already answered this question by the affirmative in a join work with S. Mongodi [2] explicitly and linearly by the "method of coronas". This method asks for extra L^r conditions on derivatives of coefficients of ω, when $q<n$; we shall note the set of ω verifying these conditions $\mathcal{W}_q^r(\Omega)$ as in [2].

The aim of this work is to extend this result to Stein manifolds and get rid of the extra L^r conditions $\mathcal{W}_q^r(\Omega)$. For it we use a completely different approach inspired by the Serre duality [12]. Because Hahn Banach theorem is used, these results are no longer so explicit and constructive as in [2].

On the other hand the control of the support is better : if the support of the data ω is contained in $\Omega\backslash C$ where Ω is a weakly r' regular domain and C is a weakly r regular domain, then the support of the solution u is contained in $\Omega\backslash C'$, where C' is any domain relatively compact in C, provided that $q\geq 2$. One may observe that $\Omega\backslash C$ is *not* Stein in general even if Ω is.

There is also a result of this kind for $q=1$, see section 3.5.
The definition of weakly r regular domain will be given later on, but pseudo convex domains in Stein manifold or in $\mathbb{C}^n$ are such domains.

The idea is to solve $\bar\partial u=\omega$ in a space $L^r(\Omega)$ with a weight η "big" outside of the support of ω ; this way we shall have a "small" solution u outside of the support of ω. Then, using a sequence of such weights going to infinity outside of the support of ω, we shall have a u zero outside of the support of ω.

In a second part of this work we prove that if $\omega\in L^{r,loc}_{(p,q)}(\Omega,dm),\ \bar\partial\omega=0$, there is a form $u\in L^{r,loc}_{(p,q-1)}(\Omega,dm)$ such that $\bar\partial u=\omega$, provided that Ω is a weakly r' regular domain.
Hence we have a *global* estimated solution. Of course for $r=2$ this was already proved by Hörmander([7] theorem 5.2.4, p 125).
This last result was suggested to me by a referee for our paper with S. Mongodi, who gave a sketch of a nice geometrical proof of the result on forms with compact support, using $L^{r,loc}$ estimates in *germs*, after the version two of this paper was already posted on HAL and ArXiv.

In order to get another proof for forms with compact support, we prove, for μ a positive measure on Ω and $1\leq r<\infty$, that the dual of $L^{r,c}(\Omega,\mu)$ is $L^{r',loc}(\Omega,\mu)$, with r' the conjugate exponent of r, and that the dual of $L^{r,loc}(\Omega,\mu)$ is $L^{r',c}(\Omega,\mu)$. We prove also a theorem giving global solution to $\bar\partial$ equation for forms with coefficients in a dual space A', provided that there are solutions for forms with coefficients in A. This is again a avatar of the Serre duality theorem.

Then, because $L^{r',c}(\Omega)$ is the dual of $L^{r,loc}(\Omega)$ we get another proof of the main theorem of this work.

I am indebted to G. Tomassini who started my interest in this subject by e-mails on precisely this kind of questions and also to S. Mongodi for a lot of discussions by mails on the subject during the preparation of our join paper [2].

Moreover I want to thank C. Laurent who makes me realise that a weaker condition than the r regularity was sufficient to get these results, with exactly the same proofs.

2. Duality.

We shall study a duality between currents inspired by the Serre duality [12].
Let $\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}^n$ be an open set in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and t a (p,q) current with compact support in Ω, noted $t\in\mathcal{D}'_{c,\ (p,q)}(\Omega)$.
Let also $\varphi\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{(n-p,n-q)}(\Omega)$ a $(n-p,n-q)$ form in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega)$. We have that $t\wedge\varphi$ is a (n,n) current with compact support in Ω.

As usual we use the following notation for the pairing

$$\langle t,\ \varphi\rangle:=t(\varphi),$$

where $t(\varphi)$ is the action, as a current, of t on the smooth form φ of complementary bi-degree.

The point in the next lemma is that the test functions need not to be compactly supported.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Ω be an open set in $\mathbb{C}^n$, $\omega\in\mathcal{D}'_{c,\ (p,q)}(\Omega)$ a (p,q) current with compact support in Ω and $u\in\mathcal{D}'_{c,\ (p,q-1)}(\Omega)$. Then we have $\bar\partial u=\omega$ iff*

$$(*)\qquad \forall\varphi\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{(n-p,n-q)}(\Omega),\ \langle\omega,\varphi\rangle=(-1)^{p+q-1}\langle u,\bar\partial\varphi\rangle.$$

Proof.
If $\bar\partial u=\omega$, let $\chi\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_c(\Omega)$ such that $\chi\equiv 1$ on the support of u, hence on the support of ω. Then

$$\forall\varphi\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{(n-p,n-q)}(\Omega),\ \langle\omega,\varphi\rangle=\langle\omega,\ \chi\varphi\rangle=\langle\bar\partial u,\chi\varphi\rangle=(-1)^{p+q-1}\langle u,\bar\partial(\chi\varphi)\rangle$$

by definition of the action of derivatives on currents. Hence

$$\langle \omega,\varphi\rangle=(-1)^{p+q-1}\big\langle u,\bar\partial\chi\wedge\varphi\big\rangle+(-1)^{p+q-1}\big\langle u,\chi\bar\partial\varphi\big\rangle=(-1)^{p+q-1}\big\langle u,\chi\bar\partial\varphi\big\rangle$$

because $\bar\partial\chi=0$ on the support of u ; so

$$\langle \omega,\varphi\rangle=(-1)^{p+q-1}\big\langle u,\chi\bar\partial\varphi\big\rangle=(-1)^{p+q-1}\big\langle u,\bar\partial\varphi\big\rangle$$

because $\chi=1$ on the support of u.

Conversely if we have $(*)$ we take $\chi\in\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\chi\equiv 1$ on union of the support of u and the support of ω then

$$\forall\varphi\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{(n-p,n-q)}(\Omega),\ \langle\omega,\varphi\rangle=\langle\omega,\chi\varphi\rangle$$

because $\chi=1$ on the support of ω, and by $(*)$

$$\langle\omega,\chi\varphi\rangle=(-1)^{p+q-1}\big\langle u,\bar\partial(\chi\varphi)\big\rangle=\big\langle\bar\partial u,\chi\varphi\big\rangle=\big\langle\bar\partial u,\varphi\big\rangle$$

because $\chi=1$ on the support of u hence on the support of $\bar\partial u$.
So

$$\forall\varphi\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{(n-p,n-q)}(\Omega),\ \langle\omega,\varphi\rangle=\big\langle\bar\partial u,\varphi\big\rangle,$$

which means that $\bar\partial u=\omega$ as a current. ■

3. Solution of the $\bar\partial$ equation with compact support.

3.1. **Weighted L^r spaces.**

Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$. We shall need the following notations.
We note dm the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and we shall define $L^r(\Omega,\eta)$ to be the set of functions f defined on Ω such that

$$\|f\|^r_{L^r(\Omega,\ \eta)}:=\int_\Omega\left|f(z)\right|^r\eta(z)dm(z)<\infty,$$

with a weight $\eta(z)>0$. As usual we set $L^r(\Omega)$ for $L^r(\Omega,1)$.

Let $\mathcal{I}_p$ be the set of multi-indices of length p in $(1,...,n)$. We shall use the measure defined on $\Gamma:=\Omega\times\mathcal{I}_p\times\mathcal{I}_q$ the following way :

$$d\mu(z,k,l)=d\mu_{\eta,p,q}(z,k,l):=\eta(z)dm(z)\otimes\sum_{|I|=p,\ |J|=q}\delta_I(k)\otimes\delta_J(l),$$

where $\delta_I(k)=1$ if the multi-index k is equal to I and $\delta_I(k)=0$ if not.
This means, if $f(z,I,J)$ is a function defined on Γ, that

$$\int f(z,k,l)d\mu_{\eta w,p,q}(z,k,l):=\sum_{|I|=p,\ |J|=q}\int_\Omega f(z,I,J)\eta(z)dm(z).$$

If I is a multi-index of length p, let I^c be the unique multi-index, ordered increasingly, such that $I\cup I^c=(1,\ 2,...,\ n)$; then I^c is of length $n-p$.

To $t=\sum_{|I|=p,\ |J|=q}t_{I,J}(z)dz^I\wedge d\bar z^J$ a (p,q) form, we associate the function on Γ :

$$T(z,I,J):=(-1)^{s(I,J)}t_{I,J}(z),$$

where

$s(I,J)=0$ if $dz^I\wedge d\bar z^J\wedge dz^{I^c}\wedge d\bar z^{J^c}=dz_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_n\wedge d\bar z_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d\bar z_n$ as a (n,n) form

and

$s(I,J)=1$ if not.

If $\varphi=\sum_{|I|=p,\ |J|=q}\varphi_{I^c,J^c}(z)dz^I\wedge d\bar z^J$ is of complementary bi-degree, associate in the same manner :

$\Phi^*(z,\ I,\ J):=\varphi_{I^c,J^c}(z)$. This is still a function on Γ.

Now we have, for $1<r<\infty$, if $T(z,I,J)$ is a function in Ω with $L^r(\Omega)$ coefficients and with $\mu=\mu_{\eta,p,q}$,

$$\|T\|_{L^r(d\mu)}^r := \int \left|T(z,I,J)\right|^r d\mu_{\eta,p,q}(x,\ I,\ J) = \sum_{|I|=p,\ |J|=q} \|T(\cdot,I,J)\|_{L^r(\Omega,\eta)}^r.$$

For $1 \leq r < \infty$ the dual of $L^r(\mu)$ is $L^{r'}(\mu)$ where r' is the conjugate of r, $\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r'}=1$, and the norm is defined analogously with r' replacing r.

We also know that, for $p,\ q$ fixed,

$$\|T\|_{L^r(\mu)} = \sup_{\Phi\in L^{r'}(\mu)} \frac{\left|\int T\Phi d\mu\right|}{\|\Phi\|_{L^{r'}(\mu)}}. \tag{3.1}$$

For a $(p,\ q)$ form $t=\sum_{|J|=p,\ |K|=q} t_{J,K}dz^J\wedge d\bar{z}^K$, and a weight $\eta>0$ we define its norm by :

$$\|t\|_{L^r(\Omega,\eta)}^r := \sum_{|J|=p,\ |K|=q} \|t_{J,K}\|_{L^r(\Omega,\eta)}^r = \|T\|_{L^r(\mu)}^r. \tag{3.2}$$

Now we can state

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\eta>0$ be a weight. If u is a (p,q) current defined on $(n-p,n-q)$ forms in $L^{r'}(\Omega,\eta)$ and such that*

$$\forall \alpha\in L^{r'}_{(n-p,n-q)}(\Omega,\eta),\ |\langle u,\ \alpha\rangle| \leq C\|\alpha\|_{L^{r'}(\Omega,\eta)},$$

then $\|u\|_{L^r(\Omega,\eta^{1-r})} \leq C.$

Proof.

Let us take the measure $\mu=\mu_{\eta,p,q}$ as above. Let Φ^* be the function on Γ associated to α and T the one associated to u. We have, by definition of the measure μ applied to the function

$$f(z,I,J) := T(z,I,J)\eta^{-1}\Phi^*(z,I,J),$$

$$\int T\eta^{-1}\Phi^* d\mu = \int f(z,\ k,\ l)d\mu(z,k,l) := \sum_{|I|=p,\ |J|=q}\int_\Omega f(z,\ I,\ J)\eta(z)dm(z) =$$

$$= \sum_{|I|=p,\ |J|=q}\int_\Omega T(z,I,J)\eta^{-1}(z)\Phi^*(z,I,J)\eta(z)dm(z) = \langle u,\ \alpha\rangle,$$

by definition of T and Φ^*.

Hence we have, by (3.1)

$$\left\|T\eta^{-1}\right\|_{L^r(\mu)} = \sup_{\Psi\in L^{r'}(\mu)} \frac{|\langle u,\ \alpha\rangle|}{\|\Psi\|_{L^{r'}(\mu)}}.$$

But $\|T\eta^{-1}\|_{L^r(\mu)} = \|u\eta^{-1}\|_{L^r(\Omega,\ \eta)}$ by (3.2), and

$$\left\|f\eta^{-1}\right\|_{L^r(\Omega,\eta)}^r = \int_\Omega \left|f\eta^{-1}\right|^r \eta dm = \int_\Omega |f|^r\,\eta^{1-r}dm = \|f\|_{L^r(\Omega,\eta^{1-r})},$$

so we get

$$\|u\|_{L^r(\Omega,\eta^{1-r})} = \sup_{\Psi\in L^{r'}(\mu)} \frac{|\langle u,\ \alpha\rangle|}{\|\Psi\|_{L^{r'}(\mu)}},$$

which implies the lemma because, still by (3.1), we can take $\Psi=\Phi^*$ and $\|\Psi\|_{L^{r'}(\mu)} = \|\alpha\|_{L^{r'}(\Omega,\eta)}$. ■

It may seem strange that we have such an estimate when the dual of $L^{r'}(\Omega,\eta)$ is $L^r(\Omega,\eta)$, but the reason is of course that in the duality forms-currents there is no weights.

3.2. Domain r regular.

Definition 3.2. *Let* X *be a complex manifold and* Ω *a domain in* X ; *let* $r\in[1,\ \infty]$, *we shall say that* Ω *is* r *regular if for any* $p,q\in\{0,...,n\},\ q\geq 1$, *there is a constant* $C=C_{p,q}(\Omega)$ *such that for any* (p,q) *form* $\omega,\ \bar\partial$ *closed in* Ω *and in* $L^r(\Omega)$ *there is a* $(p,q-1)$ *form* $u\in L^r(\Omega)$ *such that* $\bar\partial u=\omega$ *and* $\|u\|_{L^r(\Omega)}\leq C\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}$.

We shall say that Ω *is weakly* r *regular if for any compact set* $K\Subset\Omega$ *there are* 3 *open sets* $\Omega_1,\Omega_2,\Omega_3$ *such that* $K\Subset\Omega_3\subset\Omega_2\subset\Omega_1\subset\Omega_0:=\Omega$ *and* 3 *constants* C_1,C_2,C_3 *such that :*

$\forall j=0,1,2,\ \forall p,q\in\{0,...,n\},\ q\geq 1,\ \forall\omega\in L^r_{p,q}(\Omega_j),\ \bar\partial\omega=0,\ \exists u\in L^r_{p,q-1}(\Omega_{j+1}),\ \bar\partial u=\omega$ *and* $\|u\|_{L^r(\Omega_{j+1})}\leq C_{j+1}\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega_j)}$.

I.e. we have a 3 *steps chain of resolution.*

Of course r regularity implies weak r regularity, just taking $\Omega_1=\Omega_2=\Omega_3=\Omega$.

Examples of 2 regular domains are the bounded pseudo-convex domains by Hörmander [7].

Examples of r regular domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ are the bounded strictly pseudo-convex (s.p.c.) domains with smooth boundary by Ovrelid [10] ; the polydiscs in $\mathbb{C}^n$ by Charpentier [4], finite transverse intersections of strictly pseudo-convex bounded domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ by Menini [9].

On a Stein manifold X we define first the "Lebesgue measure" as in Hörmander's book [7] section 5.2, with a hermitian metric locally equivalent to the usual one on any analytic coordinates system. Associated to this metric there is a volume form dV and we take it for the Lebesgue measure on X.

We can solve the $\bar\partial$ equation in strictly pseudo-convex domains with smooth boundary in X with L^r estimates for $(0,1)$ forms by use of N. Kerzman kernels [8] and the metric above.

We can solve the $\bar\partial$ equation in strictly pseudo-convex domains with smooth boundary in X with L^r estimates for all (p,q) forms by J-P. Demailly and C. Laurent ones([5], Remarque 4, page 596) but here the manifold has to be equiped with a metric with null curvature, in order to avoid parasitic terms.

So examples of r regular domains in Stein manifold are the relatively compact s.p.c. domains with smooth boundary.

Lemma 3.3. *A pseudo-convex domain* $\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}^n$ *is weakly* r *regular.*

Proof.

By theorem 2.6.11 of Hörmander [7] there exists a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ strictly plurisubharmonic exhausting function φ for Ω. Call $E\subset\Omega$ the set of critical points of φ, then by the Morse-Sard lemma we have that the Lebesgue measure of $\varphi(E)\subset\mathbb{R}$ is zero. Hence we can find a sequence $c_k\in\mathbb{R}\backslash\varphi(E),\ c_k\to\infty$, such that

$$D_k:=\{z\in\Omega::\varphi(z)<c_k\}$$

make an exhaustive sequence of open relatively compact sets in $\Omega,\ \partial\varphi\neq 0$ on ∂D_k, hence D_k is strictly pseudo-convex with $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ smooth boundary, and finally $D_k\nearrow\Omega$.

Let $\omega\in L^r_{p,q}(\Omega),\ \bar\partial\omega=0$ then by Ovrelid [10], we can solve $\bar\partial u=\omega$ in D_k with $u\in L^r_{p,q-1}(D_k)$ and

$$\|u\|_{L^r(D_k)}\leq C_k\|\omega\|_{L^r(D_k)}\leq C_k\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}.$$

Hence if K is a compact set in Ω, there is a D_k such that $K\Subset D_k$ and we can take $\Omega_1=\Omega_2=\Omega_3=D_k$.

Hence the weak r regularity of Ω. ■

Lemma 3.4. *A Stein manifold* Ω *is weakly* r *regular.*

Proof.

It is exactly the same proof as above with theorem 5.1.6 of Hörmander [7] instead of theorem 2.6.11 to get a sequence $\{D_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of strictly pseudo-convex sets with $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ smooth boundary and exhausting Ω.

Then we use Kerzman estimates for $(p,1)$ forms [8] and Demailly-Laurent estimates for (p,q) forms [5] instead of Ovrelid estimates [10], to conclude the same way. ■

3.3. **The main result.**

Let $\mathcal{H}_p(\Omega)$ be the set of all $(p,\ 0)$ $\bar\partial$ closed forms in Ω. If $p=0,\ \mathcal{H}_0(\Omega)=\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ is the set of holomorphic functions in Ω. If $p>0$, we have $\varphi\in\mathcal{H}_p(\Omega)\Rightarrow\varphi(z)=\sum_{|J|=p}a_J(z)dz^J$, where $dz^J:=dz_{j_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_{j_p}$ and the functions $a_J(z)$ are holomorphic in Ω. Hence in $\mathbb{C}^n$, a $(p,0)$ $\bar\partial$ closed form is a vector of global holomorphic functions in Ω.

Let Ω be a weakly r' regular domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and ω be a (p,q) form in $L^{r,c}(\Omega),\ r>1,\ \operatorname{Supp}\omega\Subset\Omega$. Let $\Omega_1\subset\Omega$ be a sub domain in Ω with $\operatorname{Supp}\omega\Subset\Omega_1\subset\Omega$ given by the weak r' regularity of Ω. Set the weight $\eta=\eta_\epsilon:=\mathbb{1}_{\Omega_1}(z)+\epsilon\mathbb{1}_{\Omega\setminus\Omega_1}(z)$ for a fixed $\epsilon>0$.
Suppose that ω is such that $\bar\partial\omega=0$ if $1\leq q<n$ and for any open $V\Subset\Omega,\ \operatorname{Supp}\omega\Subset V$ we have $\forall h\in\mathcal{H}_p(V),\ \langle\omega,\ h\rangle=0$ if $q=n$.
We shall use the lemma :

Lemma 3.5. *The form $\mathcal{L}$, defined on $(n-p,n-q+1)$ form $\alpha\in L^{r'}(\Omega,\eta)$, $\bar\partial$ closed in Ω, as follows:*

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha):=(-1)^{p+q-1}\langle\omega,\varphi\rangle,\ \textit{where } \varphi\in L^{r'}(\Omega_1) \textit{ is such that } \bar\partial\varphi=\alpha \textit{ in } \Omega_1$$

is well defined and linear.

Proof.
Because $\epsilon>0$ we have $\alpha\in L^{r'}(\Omega,\eta)\Rightarrow\alpha\in L^{r'}(\Omega)$ and such a φ exists by the weak r' regularity of Ω, so there is a $\Omega_1::\operatorname{Supp}\omega\Subset\Omega_1\subset\Omega$ such that $\varphi\in L^{r'}(\Omega_1)$ with $\bar\partial\varphi=\alpha$ in Ω_1.
Let us see that $\mathcal{L}$ is well defined.

Suppose first that $q<n$.
In order for $\mathcal{L}$ to be well defined we need

$$\forall\varphi,\psi\in L^{r'}_{(n-p,n-q)}(\Omega_1),\ \bar\partial\varphi=\bar\partial\psi\Rightarrow\langle\omega,\varphi\rangle=\langle\omega,\psi\rangle.$$

This is meaningful because $\omega\in L^{r,c}(\Omega),\ r>1,\ \operatorname{Supp}\omega\Subset\Omega_1$.
Then we have $\bar\partial(\varphi-\psi)=0$ hence, because Ω is weakly r' regular, there is a Ω_2 such that $\operatorname{Supp}\omega\Subset\Omega_2\subset\Omega_1$ and we can solve $\bar\partial$ in $L^{r'}(\Omega_2)$:

$$\exists\gamma\in L^{r'}_{(n-p,n-q-1)}(\Omega_2)::\bar\partial\gamma=(\varphi-\psi).$$

So $\langle\omega,\varphi-\psi\rangle=\langle\omega,\bar\partial\gamma\rangle=(-1)^{p+q-1}\langle\bar\partial\omega,\gamma\rangle=0$ because ω is compactly supported in Ω_2.
Hence $\mathcal{L}$ is well defined in that case.

Suppose now that $q=n$.
Of course $\bar\partial\omega=0$ and we have that $\varphi,\ \psi$ are $(p,\ 0)$ forms hence $\bar\partial(\varphi-\psi)=0$ means that $h:=\varphi-\psi$ is a $\bar\partial$ closed $(p,\ 0)$ form hence $h\in\mathcal{H}_p(\Omega_1)$. Taking $V=\Omega_1$ in the hypothesis, $\omega\perp\mathcal{H}_p(V)$ gives $\langle\omega,h\rangle=0$, and $\mathcal{L}$ is also well defined in that case.

It remains to see that $\mathcal{L}$ is linear, so let $\alpha=\alpha_1+\alpha_2$, with $\alpha_j\in L^{r'}(\Omega,\eta),\ \bar\partial\alpha_j=0,\ j=1,2$; we have $\alpha=\bar\partial\varphi,\ \alpha_1=\bar\partial\varphi_1$ and $\alpha_2=\bar\partial\varphi_2$, with $\varphi,\ \varphi_1,\ \varphi_2$ in $L^{r'}(\Omega_1)$ so, because $\bar\partial(\varphi-\varphi_1-\varphi_2)=0$, we have

$$\varphi=\varphi_1+\varphi_2+\bar\partial\psi,\text{ with }\psi\text{ in }L^{r'}(\Omega_2),$$

so

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha)=(-1)^{p+q-1}\langle\omega,\varphi\rangle=(-1)^{p+q-1}\big\langle\omega,\varphi_1+\varphi_2+\bar\partial\psi\big\rangle=\ \mathcal{L}(\alpha_1)+\mathcal{L}(\alpha_2)+(-1)^{p+q-1}\big\langle\omega,\bar\partial\psi\big\rangle,$$

but $\big\langle\omega,\bar\partial\psi\big\rangle=0$, hence $\mathcal{L}(\alpha)=\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1)+\mathcal{L}(\alpha_2)$.
The same for $\alpha=\lambda\alpha_1$ and the linearity. ∎

Remark 3.6. *If Ω is Stein, we can take the domains Ω_1 to be s.p.c. with $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ smooth boundary, hence also Stein. So because $K:=\operatorname{Supp}\omega\subset\Omega_1\subset\Omega$, then the $A(\Omega_1)$ convex hull of K, $\hat K_{\Omega_1}$ is still in Ω_1 and any holomorphic function in Ω_1 can be uniformly approximated on $\hat K_{\Omega_1}$ by holomorphic functions in Ω.*
Then for $q=n$ instead of asking $\omega\perp\mathcal{H}_p(\Omega_1)$ we need just $\omega\perp\mathcal{H}_p(\Omega)$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let Ω be a weakly r' regular domain and ω be a (p,q) form in $L^{r,c}(\Omega)$, $r>1$. Suppose that ω is such that $\bar\partial\omega=0$ if $1\leq q<n$ and*
$\forall V\subset\Omega,\ \operatorname{Supp}\omega\subset V,\ \forall h\in\mathcal{H}_p(V),\ \langle\omega,\ h\rangle=0$ *if* $q=n$.
Then there is a $(p,q-1)$ form u in $L^{r,c}(\Omega)$ such that $\bar\partial u=\omega$ as distributions and
$$\|u\|_{L^r(\Omega)}\leq C\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}.$$

Proof.
Because Ω is weakly r' regular there is a $\Omega_1\subset\Omega,\ \Omega_1\supset\operatorname{Supp}\omega$ such that
$$\forall\alpha\in L^r(\Omega),\bar\partial\alpha=0,\ \exists\varphi\in L^r(\Omega_1)::\bar\partial\varphi=\alpha,\ \|\varphi\|_{L^r(\Omega_1)}\leq C_1\|\alpha\|_{L^r(\Omega)}$$
and there is Ω_2 such that $\operatorname{Supp}\omega\Subset\Omega_2\subset\Omega_1\subset\Omega$ with the same properties as Ω_1.

Let us consider the weight $\eta=\eta_\epsilon:=\mathbb{1}_{\Omega_1}(z)+\epsilon\mathbb{1}_{\Omega\setminus\Omega_1}(z)$ for a fixed $\epsilon>0$ and the form $\mathcal{L}$ defined in lemma 3.5. By lemma 3.5 we have that $\mathcal{L}$ is a linear form on $(n-p,n-q+1)$ form $\alpha\in L^{r'}(\Omega,\eta)$, $\bar\partial$ closed in Ω.

If α is a $(n-p,n-q+1)$ form in $L^{r'}(\Omega,\eta)$, then α is in $L^r(\Omega)$ because $\epsilon>0$.
The weak r' regularity of Ω gives that there is a $\varphi\in L^{r'}(\Omega_1)::\bar\partial\varphi=\alpha$ which can be used to define $\mathcal{L}(\alpha)$.

We have also that $\alpha\in L^r(\Omega_1)$, $\bar\partial\alpha=0$ in Ω_1, hence still with the weakly r' regularity of Ω, we have
$$\exists\psi\in L^r(\Omega_2)::\bar\partial\psi=\alpha,\ \|\psi\|_{L^r(\Omega_2)}\leq C_2\|\alpha\|_{L^r(\Omega_1)}.$$
For $q<n$, we have $\bar\partial(\varphi-\psi)=\alpha-\alpha=0$ on Ω_2 and, by the weak r' regularity of Ω, then there is a $\Omega_3\subset\Omega_2$, such that $\operatorname{Supp}\omega\subset\Omega_3\subset\Omega_2$, and a $\gamma\in L^{r'}(\Omega_3)$, $\bar\partial\gamma=\varphi-\psi$ in Ω_3. So we get
$$\langle\omega,\ \varphi-\psi\rangle=\big\langle\omega,\bar\partial\gamma\big\rangle=(-1)^{p+q-1}\ \big\langle\bar\partial\omega,\gamma\big\rangle=0,$$
this is meaningful because $\operatorname{Supp}\omega\subset\Omega_3$.
Hence
$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha)=\langle\omega,\ \varphi\rangle=\langle\omega,\ \psi\rangle.$$

If $q=n$, we still have $\bar\partial(\varphi-\psi)=\alpha-\alpha=0$ on Ω_2, hence $\varphi-\psi\in\mathcal{H}_p(\Omega_2)$; this time we choose $V=\Omega_2$ and the assumption gives $\langle\omega,\ \varphi-\psi\rangle=0$ hence again $\mathcal{L}(\alpha)=\langle\omega,\ \varphi\rangle=\langle\omega,\ \psi\rangle$.

In any cases, by Hölder inequalities
$$|\mathcal{L}(\alpha)|\leq\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega_1)}\|\psi\|_{L^{r'}(\Omega_2)}\leq\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}\|\psi\|_{L^{r'}(\Omega_2)}.$$
But by the weak r' regularity of Ω there is a constant C_2 such that
$$\|\psi\|_{L^{r'}(\Omega_2)}\leq C_2\|\alpha\|_{L^{r'}(\Omega_1)}.$$
Of course we have
$$\|\alpha\|_{L^{r'}(\Omega_1)}\leq\|\alpha\|_{L^{r'}(\Omega,\ \eta)}$$
because $\eta=1$ on Ω_1, hence

$$|\mathcal{L}(\alpha)| \leq C_2\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}\|\alpha\|_{L^{r'}(\Omega,\ \eta)}.$$

So we have that the norm of $\mathcal{L}$ is bounded on the subspace of $\bar\partial$ closed forms in $L^{r'}(\Omega,\eta)$ by $C\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}$ which is *independent* of ϵ.

We apply the Hahn-Banach theorem to extend $\mathcal{L}$ with the *same* norm to *all* $(n-p,n-q+1)$ forms in $L^{r'}(\Omega,\ \eta)$. As in Serre duality theorem ([12], p. 20) this is one of the main ingredient in the proof.

This means, by the definition of currents, that there is a $(p,q-1)$ current u which represents the extended form $\mathcal{L}$ and such that

$$\sup_{\alpha\in L^{r'}(\Omega,\eta),\ \|\alpha\|=1}\ |\langle u,\alpha\rangle| \leq C\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}$$

and by lemma 3.1 with the weight η, this implies

$$\|u\|_{L^r(\Omega,\eta^{1-r})} \leq C\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}.$$

In particular $\|u\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \leq C\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}$ because with $\epsilon<1$ and $r>1$, we have $\eta^{1-r}\geq 1$.

So applied to a $\bar\partial$ closed $(n-p,n-q+1)$ smooth form α we get

$$\langle u,\alpha\rangle = \mathcal{L}(\alpha) = (-1)^{p+q-1}\langle\omega,\varphi\rangle,$$

with φ a smooth form such that $\bar\partial\varphi=\alpha$, i.e. $\forall\varphi :: \bar\partial\varphi \in L^{r'}(\Omega,\ \eta)$, we have $\langle u,\bar\partial\varphi\rangle = (-1)^{p+q-1}\langle\omega,\varphi\rangle$ and this means precisely, by lemma 2.1, that $\bar\partial u=\omega$.

Now for $\epsilon>0$ with $\eta_\epsilon(z) := \mathbb{1}_{\Omega_1}(z)+\epsilon\mathbb{1}_{\Omega\backslash\Omega_1}(z)$, let $u_\epsilon\in L^r(\Omega,\eta_\epsilon^{1-r})$ be the previous solution, then

$$\|u_\epsilon\|^r_{L^r(\Omega)} \leq \int_\Omega |u_\epsilon|^r\,\eta^{1-r}dm \leq C^r\|\omega\|^r_{L^r(\Omega)}.$$

Replacing η by its value we get

$$\int_{\Omega_1}|u_\epsilon|^r\,dm + \int_{\Omega\backslash\Omega_1}|u_\epsilon|^r\,\epsilon^{1-r}dm \leq C^r\|\omega\|^r_{L^r(\Omega)} \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega\backslash\Omega_1}|u_\epsilon|^r\,\epsilon^{1-r}dm \leq C^r\|\omega\|^r_{L^r(\Omega)}$$

hence

$$\int_{\Omega\backslash\Omega_1}|u_\epsilon|^r\,dm \leq C^r\epsilon^{r-1}\|\omega\|^r_{L^r(\Omega)}.$$

Because C and the norm of ω are independent of ϵ, we have $\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^r(\Omega)}$ is uniformly bounded and $r>1 \Rightarrow L^r(\Omega)$ is a dual, hence there is a sub-sequence $\{u_{\epsilon_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\{u_\epsilon\}$ which converges weakly, when $\epsilon_k\to 0$, to a $(p,q-1)$ form u in $L^r(\Omega)$, still with $\|u\|_{L^r(\Omega)}\leq C\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}$.

To see that this form u is 0 *a.e.* on $\Omega\backslash\Omega_1$ let us take a component $u_{I,J}$ of it ; it is the weak limit of the sequence of functions $\{u_{\epsilon_k,I,J}\}$ which means, with the notations $v:=u_{I,J},\ v_k:=u_{\epsilon_k,I,J}$

$$\forall f\in L^{r'}(\Omega),\ \int_\Omega vf\,dm = \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega v_kf\,dm.$$

As usual take $f:=\dfrac{\bar v}{|v|}\mathbb{1}_E$ where $E:=\{|v|>0\}\cap(\Omega\backslash\Omega_1)$ then we get

$$\int_\Omega vf\,dm = \int_E|v|\,dm = \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega v_kf\,dm = \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_E\frac{v_k\bar v}{|v|}dm.$$

Now we have by Hölder

$$\left|\int_E\frac{v_k\bar v}{|v|}dm\right| \leq \|v_k\|_{L^r(E)}\|\mathbb{1}_E\|_{L^{r'}(E)}.$$

But

$$\|v_k\|^r_{L^r(E)} \leq \int_{\Omega\backslash\Omega_1}|u_{\epsilon_k}|^r\,dm \leq (\epsilon_k)^{r-1}\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}\to 0,\ k\to\infty.$$

Hence

$$\left|\int_E|v|\,dm\right| \leq\ C^r\|\mathbb{1}_E\|_{L^{r'}(E)}(\epsilon_k)^{r-1}\|\omega\|^r_{L^r(\Omega)}\to 0$$

which implies $m(E)=0$ because on $E,\ |v|>0$.
This being true for all components of u, we get that the form u is 0 *a.e.* on $\Omega\backslash\Omega_1$.

So we get

$$\forall\varphi\in\mathcal{D}_{n-p,n-q}(\Omega),\ (-1)^{p+q-1}\langle\omega,\ \varphi\rangle=\left\langle u_\epsilon,\ \bar\partial\varphi\right\rangle\to\left\langle u,\ \bar\partial\varphi\right\rangle\Rightarrow\left\langle u,\ \bar\partial\varphi\right\rangle=(-1)^{p+q-1}\langle\omega,\ \varphi\rangle$$

hence $\bar\partial u=\omega$ as distributions. ■

Remark 3.8. *As in remark 3.6 if Ω is Stein for $q=n$ instead of asking $\omega\perp\mathcal{H}_p(\Omega_2)$ we need just $\omega\perp\mathcal{H}_p(\Omega)$.*

Remark 3.9. *The condition of orthogonality to $\mathcal{H}_p(V)$ in the case $q=n$ is necessary : suppose there is a $(p,n-1)$ current u such that $\bar\partial u=\omega$ and u with compact support in an open $V\subset\Omega$, then if $h\in\mathcal{H}_p(V)$, we have*

$$h\in\mathcal{H}_p(V),\ \langle\omega,h\rangle=\left\langle\bar\partial u,h\right\rangle=(-1)^{n+p}\left\langle u,\bar\partial h\right\rangle=0,$$

because, u being compactly supported, there is no boundary term and

$$\left\langle\bar\partial u,h\right\rangle=(-1)^{n+p}\left\langle u,\bar\partial h\right\rangle.$$

This kind of condition was already seen for extension of CR functions, see [1] *and the references therein.*

3.4. Case of Stein manifold.

Theorem 3.10. *Let X be a Stein manifold and Ω a weakly r' regular domain in X with r' the conjugate exponent for r. Let ω be a (p,q) current in $L^{r,c}(\Omega),\ r>1$, such that $\bar\partial\omega=0$ if $1\leq q<n$ and for any open set $V\subset\Omega,\ V\supset\operatorname{Supp}\omega$ we have $\forall h\in\mathcal{H}_p(V),\ \langle\omega,h\rangle=0$ if $q=n$.*

Then there is a $(p,q-1)$ current u in $L^{r,c}(\Omega)$ such that $\bar\partial u=\omega$.

The proof is identical to the proof of theorem 3.7.

Remark 3.11. *Another proof of this theorem, with different assumptions, was given by a referee. He sketched a completely different geometrical proof, using nice but heavy theorems.*

3.5. Finer control of the support.

Here we shall get a better control on the support of a solution.

Theorem 3.12. *Let Ω be a weakly r' regular domain in a Stein manifold X. Then for any (p,q) form ω in $L^{r,c}(\Omega,dm),\ \bar\partial\omega=0$, if $q<n$, and $\omega\perp\mathcal{H}_p(V)$ for any V :: $\operatorname{Supp}\omega\subset V$, if $q=n$, with support in $\Omega\backslash C$ where C is a weakly r regular domain, there is a $u\in L^{r,c}(\Omega,dm)$ such that $\bar\partial u=\omega$ and with support in $\Omega\backslash\bar U$, where U is any open set relatively compact in C, provided that $q\geq 2$.*

Proof.

Let ω be a (p,q) form with compact support in $\Omega\backslash C$ then ω is solvable in L^r by a $v\in L^r_{p,q-1}(\Omega),\ \bar\partial v=\omega$, with compact support in Ω, by theorem 3.10 or, if Ω is a polydisc in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and if $\omega\in\mathcal{W}^r_q(\Omega)$ by the theorem in [2] by the coronas method.
Because ω has compact support outside C we have $\omega=0$ in C ; this means that $\bar\partial v=0$ in C. Because C is weakly r regular and $q\geq 2$, we have

$$\exists C'\subset C,\ C'\supset\bar U,\ \exists h\in L^r_{p,q-2}(C')\ s.t.\ \bar\partial h=v \text{ in } C'.$$

Let χ be a smooth function such that $\chi=1$ in U and $\chi=0$ near $\partial C'$; then set

$$u:=v-\bar\partial(\chi h).$$

We have that $u=v-\chi\bar\partial h-\bar\partial\chi\wedge h=v-\chi v-\bar\partial\chi\wedge h$ hence u is in L^r ; moreover $u=0$ in $\bar U$ because $\chi=1$ in U hence $\bar\partial\chi=0$ there. Finally $\bar\partial u=\bar\partial v-\bar\partial^2(\chi h)=\omega$ and we are done. ■

If Ω and C are, for instance, pseudo-convex in $\mathbb{C}^n$ then $\Omega\backslash C$ is no longer pseudo-convex in general, so this theorem improves actually the control of the support.

Remark 3.13. *The correcting function h is given by kernels in the case of Stein domains, hence it is linear ; if the primitive solution v is also linear in ω, then the solution u is linear too. This is the case in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with the solution given in* [2].

This theorem cannot be true for $q = 1$ as shown by the following example :
Take a holomorphic function φ in the open unit ball $B(0, 1)$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that it extends to no open ball of center 0 and radius > 1. For instance $\varphi(z) := \exp\left(-\dfrac{z_1 + 1}{z_1 - 1}\right)$. Take $R < 1$, then φ is $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\bar{B}(0, R))$ hence by a theorem of Whitney φ extends $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ to $\mathbb{C}^n$; call φ_R this extension. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(B(0, 2))$ such that $\chi = 1$ in the ball $B(0, 3/2)$ and consider the $(0, 1)$ form $\omega := \bar\partial(\chi\varphi_R)$. Then $\operatorname{Supp}\omega \subset B(0, 2)\backslash B(0, R)$, ω is $\bar\partial$ closed and is $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ hence in $L^r_{0,1}(B(0, 2))$. Moreover $B(0, R)$ is strictly pseudo-convex hence r' regular, but there is no function u such that $\bar\partial u = \omega$ and u zero near the origin because any solution u will be C.R. on $\partial B(0, R)$ and by Hartog's phenomenon will extends holomorphically to $B(0, R)$, hence cannot be identically null near 0.

Never the less in the case $q = 1$, we have :

Theorem 3.14. *Let Ω be a weakly r' regular domain in a Stein manifold X.*
Then for any $(p, 1)$ form ω in $L^{r,c}(\Omega, dm)$, $\bar\partial\omega = 0$, with support in $\Omega_1\backslash C$ where Ω_1 is a weak r' regular domain in Ω and C is a domain such that $C \subset \Omega$ and $C\backslash\Omega_1 \neq \emptyset$; there is a $u \in L^{r,c}(\Omega, dm)$ such that $\bar\partial u = \omega$ and with support in $\Omega\backslash C$.

Proof.
We have that ω is solvable in L^r by a $u \in L^r_{p,0}(\Omega_1)$ with compact support in Ω_1, by theorem 3.10 or, if Ω and Ω_1 are polydiscs in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and if ω verifies the extra L^r estimates on some derivatives of its coefficients, by the theorem in [2] with the coronas method. Then $\bar\partial u = 0$ in C hence u is locally holomorphic in C. Because $C\backslash\Omega_1 \neq \emptyset$, there is an open set in $C\backslash\Omega_1 \subset \Omega\backslash\Omega_1$ where u is 0 and holomorphic, hence u is identically 0 in C, C being connected. ■

Remark 3.15. *If there is a $u \in L^{r,c}_{p,0}(\Omega_1)$ which is 0 in C then we have*

$$\forall h \in L^{r'}_{n-p,n-1}(C) :: \operatorname{Supp}\bar\partial h \subset C,\ 0 = \langle u, \bar\partial h\rangle = \langle\omega, h\rangle,$$

hence the necessary condition :

$$\forall h \in L^{r'}_{n-p,n-1}(C) :: \operatorname{Supp}\bar\partial h \subset C,\ \langle\omega, h\rangle = 0.$$

Corollary 3.16. *Let Ω be a polydic in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then for any (p, q) form ω in $L^{r,c}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{W}^r_q(\Omega)$, $\bar\partial\omega = 0$, if $q < n$, and $\omega \perp \mathcal{H}_p(\ \Omega)$ if $q = n$, with compact support in $\Omega\backslash\{f = 0\}$ where f is holomorphic in Ω, there is a $(p, q-1)$ form $u \in L^{r,c}(\Omega)$ such that $\bar\partial u = \omega$ and u has its support still in $\Omega\backslash\{f = 0\}$. Moreover the solution u is linear with respect to ω.*

Proof.
Because ω has compact support outside $\{f = 0\}$ this means that there is a $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\omega = 0$ in $\{|f| < \epsilon\}$. We have that $C := \Omega \cap \{|f| < \epsilon\}$ is pseudo-convex hence we can find a sequence of smoothly strictly pseudo-convex domains $D_k \subset C$ such that $D_k \nearrow C$. Choose k big enough to be sure that $D_k \supset \{|f| < \epsilon/2\}$.

If $q > 1$, apply theorem 3.12 to C, $C' = D_k$, to get a solution u of $\bar\partial u = \omega$ in $L^r_{p,q-1}(\Omega)$ with support in $\Omega\backslash\{f = 0\}$.

If $q = 1$ we can apply theorem 3.14 because $\{f = 0\}$ goes necessarily up to the boundary of Ω and we can always take a D strictly pseudo-convex containing the support of ω and relatively compact in Ω. We can always use the linear solution given by the coronas method for the primitive solution, hence because we can solve $\bar\partial$ in L^r linearly in s.p.c. domains, each step is done linearly, so the complete solution is also linear. ■

Remark 3.17. *If Ω is a pseudo-convex domain in a Stein manifold X, and if f is holomorphic in Ω, then $\Omega\backslash\{f = 0\}$ is Stein and we have already this result by theorem 3.10 but not linearly with respect to ω.*

4. AN APPROACH BY $L^{r,loc}$ ESTIMATES FOR $\bar\partial$.

4.1. Global $L^{r,loc}(\Omega)$ estimates for $\bar\partial$..

We shall use again the L^r estimates to get a direct proof of *global* $L^{r,loc}$ estimates for the $\bar\partial$ equation in Stein domains. We shall copy the proof of theorem 2.8.1 in Henkin-Leiterer ([6] p 86).

Let Ω be a Stein manifold. Let $\{D_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of strictly pseudo-convex $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ smoothly bounded sub domains of Ω such that $\bar D_k$ is $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ convex. Let $\omega \in L^{r,loc}_{p,q}(\Omega),\ \bar\partial\omega = 0$ and first suppose that $q \geq 2$.

We have : by Ovrelid results in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and Demailly-Laurent ones in a Stein manifold that

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N},\ \exists u_k \in L^r_{p,q-1}(D_k) :: \bar\partial u_k = \omega,\ \|u_k\|_{L^r(D_k)} \leq C_k\|\omega\|_{L^r(D_k)},$$

because $\omega \in L^r_{p,q}(D_k)$ and we can solve $\bar\partial$ in this class. Set $v_3 = u_3$ and suppose $v_4, ..., v_k$ are already done with $v_k \in L^r(D_k),\ \bar\partial v_k = \omega$ in D_k and $v_k = v_{k-1}$ in $D_{k-2},\ \|v_k\|_{L^r(D_k)} \leq E_{k+1}\|\omega\|_{L^r(D_{k+1})}$. Let us built v_{k+1}.

We have $\exists u_{k+1} \in L^r(D_{k+1}) :: \bar\partial u_{k+1} = \omega$ so $\bar\partial(v_k - u_{k+1}) = 0$ in D_k hence $\exists\varphi \in L^r(D_k) :: \bar\partial\varphi = v_k - u_{k+1}$ in $D_k,\ \|\varphi\|_{L^r(D_k)}$ such that :

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^r(D_k)} \leq C_k\|v_k - u_{k+1}\|_{L^r(D_k)} \leq C_k\|v_k\|_{L^r(D_k)} + C_k\|u_{k+1}\|_{L^r(D_k)} \leq$$
$$\leq (C_kE_{k+1} + C_kC_{k+1})\|\omega\|_{L^r(D_{k+1})}.$$

Let $\chi_k \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_c(D_k),\ 0 \leq \chi_k \leq 1$, with $\chi_k \equiv 1$ in D_{k-1} and set

$$v_{k+1} = u_{k+1} + \bar\partial(\chi_k\varphi) = \bar\partial\chi_k \wedge \varphi + \chi_k(v_k - u_{k+1})\ ;$$

and $\chi_k(v_k-u_{k+1}) \in L^r(D_{k+1})$ because it can be extended by 0 outside D_k and the same for $\bar\partial\chi_k\wedge\varphi$, hence $v_{k+1} \in L^r(D_{k+1})$. Moreover we have

$$v_{k+1} = u_{k+1}+\bar\partial\chi_k\wedge\varphi+\chi_k(v_k-u_{k+1}) = v_k \text{ in } D_{k-1} \text{ because there } \chi_k \equiv 1 \Rightarrow \bar\partial\chi_k = 0,$$

and

$$\bar\partial v_{k+1} = \bar\partial u_{k+1} + \bar\partial^2(\chi_k\varphi) = \bar\partial u_{k+1} = \omega.$$

And again we have $\|v_{k+1}\|_{L^r(D_{k+1})} \leq E_{k+2}\|\omega\|_{L^r(D_{k+2})}$, with $E_{k+2} = C_k+\|\chi_k\|_\infty(C_kE_{k+1}+C_kC_{k+1})$. So we are done, the sequence $\{D_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ being increasing and exhausting in Ω.

If $q = 1$ we suppose that $v_2 = u_2$ and $v_3, ..., v_k$ are already built. Then the form $h_k := v_k-u_{k+1}$ is in $\mathcal{H}_p(D_k)$ hence

$$\exists\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_p(\Omega) :: \forall z \in D_{k-1},\ |v_k(z) - u_{k+1}(z) - \varphi(z)| < 2^{-k},$$

because $\bar D_{k-1}$ is $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ convex, $v_k - u_{k+1}$ is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of $\bar D_{k-1}$.

So let

$$v_{k+1} := u_{k+1} + \varphi,$$

then $|v_k - v_{k+1}| < 2^{-k}$ in D_{k-1} hence we have a sequence of forms which converge uniformly on compact sets in Ω to a form u. Now $u-v_{k+1}$ is holomorphic in D_k hence $\bar\partial u = \bar\partial v_{k+1}+\bar\partial(u-v_{k+1}) = \bar\partial v_{k+1} = \omega$ in D_k for all k and $u \in L^r_{p,0}(\mathrm{D}_k)$ for all k. So we are done and we prove :

Theorem 4.1. *Let* Ω *be a Stein manifold and* $\omega \in L^{r,loc}_{p,q}(\Omega),\ \bar\partial\omega = 0,$ *then there is a* $u \in L^{r,loc}_{p,q-1}(\Omega)$ *such that* $\bar\partial u = \omega.$ *Moreover we have a* $C_k > 0$ *such that* $\|u\|_{L^r(D_k)} \leq C_k\|\omega\|_{L^r(D_{k+1})}.$

Now in order to go back to forms with compact support, we shall use duality.

4.2. **Functionnal analysis.**

We shall show that, for (Ω, μ) a measured topological space and $1 \leq r < \infty$, the dual of $L^{r,loc}(\Omega, \mu)$ is $L^{r',c}(\Omega, \mu)$ where r' is the conjugate exponent of r, and $L^{r',c}(\Omega, \mu)$ is the space of $L^{r'}(\Omega, \mu)$ functions 0 μ *a.e.* outside of a compact set. And also that the dual of $L^{r,c}(\Omega, \mu)$ is $L^{r',loc}(\Omega, \mu)$.

Let $\{\Omega_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a exhaustive sequence of relatively compact open sets in Ω. We shall equip $L^{r,loc}(\Omega, \mu)$ with the family of semi-norms :

$$\forall f \in L^{r,loc}(\Omega),\ \|f\|_{r,j} := \|f\|_{L^r(\Omega_j)}.$$

This is a Frechet space.

For $f \in L^{r,c}(\Omega, \mu)$ we set $\|f\|_r := \big(\int_\Omega |f|^r\, d\mu\big)^{1/r}.$

Theorem 4.2. *For* $r \in [1, \infty[$ *the dual of* $L^{r,loc}(\Omega, \mu)$ *is* $L^{r',c}(\Omega, \mu)$*, with* r' *the conjugate exponent for* r*.*

Proof.

With its Fréchet topology, a linear form $\mathcal{L}$ on $L^{r,loc}(\Omega, \mu)$ is continuous if and only if :

$$\exists j \in \mathbb{N},\ \exists C > 0 :: \forall f \in L^{r,loc}(\Omega, \mu),\ |\mathcal{L}(f)| \leq C\|f\|_{r,j}.$$

First for $g \in L^{r',c}(\Omega, \mu)$ we associate the linear form

$$\forall f \in L^{r,loc}(\Omega, \mu),\ \mathcal{L}_g(f) := \textstyle\int_\Omega f\bar g d\mu.$$

We have $|\mathcal{L}_g(f)| \leq \|g\|_r\|f\|_{r,j}$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\operatorname{Supp} g \subset \Omega_j$ hence $\mathcal{L}_g$ is continuous so $g \in (L^{r,loc}(\Omega, \mu))'$.

Conversely let $\mathcal{L}$ be a continuous linear form on $L^{r,loc}(\Omega, \mu)$, this means

$$\exists J \in \mathbb{N},\ \exists C > 0 :: \forall f \in L^{r,loc}(\Omega, \mu),\ \ |\mathcal{L}(f)| \leq C\|f\|_{r,J}. \tag{4.3}$$

Because the norms are increasing, we still have

$$\forall n \geq J,\ \forall f \in L^{r,loc}(\Omega, \mu),\ \ |\mathcal{L}(f)| \leq C\|f\|_{r,n}.$$

This implies that for $n \geq J$, we have that, on Ω_n, $\mathcal{L}$ is associated to a unique (class of) function $g_n \in L^{r'}(\Omega_n),\ \|g_n\|_{r',n} \leq C$, i.e.

$$\forall n \geq J,\ \forall f \in L^{r,loc}(\Omega, \mu),\ \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{1}_{\Omega_n} f) = \int_{\Omega_n} f\bar g_n d\mu. \tag{4.4}$$

By uniqueness we have that $g_n = g_{n-1}$ on Ω_{n-1}.

Consider now the function $f_n := \mathbb{1}_{E_n}\dfrac{\bar g_n}{|g_n|^{\frac{r-2}{r-1}}}$ with $E_n := \Omega_n \backslash \Omega_J$; then

$$\|f_n\|_r^r = \int_{E_n}\left|\frac{\bar g_n}{|g_n|^{\frac{r-2}{r-1}}}\right|^r d\mu = \int_{E_n} |g_n|^{r'}\, d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega_n} |g_n|^{r'} d\mu \leq C^{r'}.$$

Hence $f_n \in L^{r,loc}(\Omega, \mu)$, and we have by (4.4) and by (4.3)

$$|L(\mathbb{1}_{\Omega_n} f_n)| = \left|\textstyle\int_{\Omega_n} f_n\bar g_n d\mu\right| = \left|\textstyle\int_{E_n} \frac{|g_n|^2}{|g_n|^{\frac{r-2}{r-1}}} d\mu\right| = \left|\textstyle\int_{E_n} |g_n|^{r'}\, d\mu\right| \leq C\|f_n\|_{r,J} = 0,$$

because $f_n = 0$ on Ω_J. Hence $g_n = 0$ μ *a.e.* on E_n. We have $g_n = g_J$ μ *a.e.* on Ω_J hence setting $g := \mathbb{1}_{\Omega_j} g_J$ we get that $g = g_n$ μ *a.e.* on Ω_n. Because $f - \mathbb{1}_{\Omega_n} f \to 0$ in $L^{r,loc}(\Omega, \mu)$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}(f) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{1}_{\Omega_n}) = \int_\Omega f \bar{g} d\mu,$$

by the continuity of $\mathcal{L}$, hence $\mathcal{L}$ is associated to g which is in $L^{r',c}(\Omega, \mu)$. ■

Theorem 4.3. *For $r \in [1, \infty[$ the dual of $L^{r,c}(\Omega, \mu)$ is $L^{r',loc}(\Omega, \mu)$, with r' the conjugate exponent for r.*

Proof.

The space $E := L^{r,c}(\Omega, \mu)$ is endowed with its topology of inductive limit $(L^r(\Omega_n, \mu),\ T_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $T_n : L^r(\Omega_n, \mu) \to L^{r,c}(\Omega, \mu)$ is such that $\forall f \in L^r(\Omega_n, \mu),\ T_n f := \mathbb{1}_{\Omega_n} f \in L^{r,c}(\Omega, \mu)$. A linear form $\mathcal{L}$ on $L^{r,c}(\Omega, \mu)$ is then continuous if and only if $\mathcal{L}$ is continuous on each $L^r(\Omega_n, \mu)$, i.e.

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N},\ \exists C_n > 0 :: |\mathcal{L}(T_n f)| \leq C_n \|T_n f\|_n.$$

First to $g \in L^{r',loc}(\Omega, \mu)$ we associate the linear form

$$\forall f \in L^{r,c}(\Omega, \mu),\ \mathcal{L}_g(f) := \int_\Omega f \bar{g} d\mu.$$

We have

$$|\mathcal{L}_g(T_n f)| = \left|\int_{\Omega_n} f \bar{g} d\mu\right| \leq \|g\|_{L^r(\Omega_n)} \|T_n f\|_{L^{r,c}(\Omega,\mu)},$$

hence $\mathcal{L}_g$ is continuous on $L^{r,c}(\Omega, \mu)$, so $g \in (L^{r,c}(\Omega, \mu))'$.

Conversely let $\mathcal{L}$ be a continuous linear form on $L^{r,c}(\Omega, \mu)$, this means that, if we restrict $\mathcal{L}$ to the subspace $L^r(\Omega_n, \mu)$ we have

$$\exists C_n > 0 :: \forall f \in L^r(\Omega_n, \mu),\ |\mathcal{L}(T_n f)| \leq C_n \|T_n f\|_n.$$

Hence by the usual duality between $L^r(\Omega_n, \mu)$ and $L^{r'}(\Omega_n, \mu)$ we have

$$\exists! g_n \in L^{r'}(\Omega_n, \mu) :: \|g_n\|_{L^{r'}(\Omega_n,\mu)} \leq C_n \text{ and } \mathcal{L}(T_n f) = \langle T_n f, g_n \rangle.$$

But $\Omega_{n-1} \subset \Omega_n$ hence $g_n = g_{n-1}$ μ *a.e.* on Ω_{n-1} so we set $g \in L^{r',loc}(\Omega)$ such that

$$g := g_n \text{ on } \Omega_n \text{ and } \forall f \in L^{r,c}(\Omega),\ \mathcal{L}(f) = \langle f, g \rangle_\Omega$$

and we have that $(L^{r,c}(\Omega, \mu),)' \subset L^{r',loc}(\Omega)$. ■

Remark 4.4. *We can use the fact that $L^{r,loc}(\Omega)$ is the projective limit of the projective system $(L^r(\Omega_j),\ T_{jk})$ where $\{\Omega_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an exhaustive sequence of relatively compact open sets in Ω, and $T_{jk}\ :\ L^r(\Omega_k) \to L^r(\Omega_j)$ is defined by :*

$$T_{jk} f := \mathbb{1}_{\Omega_j \cap \Omega_k} f.$$

We also have that $L^{r,c}(\Omega)$ is the inductive limit of $(L^r(\Omega_j),\ T_{jk})$ and we have that the dual of $L^r(\Omega_j)$ is $L^{r'}(\Omega_j)$ and the dual of an inductive limit is the projective limit with the dual inductive system ([11]*, Prop. 15, p 85). But because the converse is also true in this case, we give explicitly the detailed proofs.*

4.3. Complex analysis.

Let Ω be an open set in $\mathbb{C}^n$ or in a complex manifold, and A a topological space of functions defined on Ω. Let, as usual, $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ be the space of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ smooth functions with compact support in Ω and $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ be the space of distributions in Ω. Suppose that $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \subset A \subset \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$, the inclusions being continuous.

Associate to A the spaces of (p, q) currents $A_{p,q}$ with coefficients in A. Then $B = A'$, the dual of A, is still a space of distributions and we ask that the duality bracket be $\bar\partial$ compatible with the distribution one, i.e.

$$\forall f \in A_{p,q-1},\ \forall g \in B_{n-p,n-q},\ \langle \bar\partial f, g \rangle = \langle f, \bar\partial g \rangle.$$

This means that there is no boundary terms, i.e. the f or g must be "asymptotically holomorphic".

We say that the equation $\bar\partial f = \omega$ is solvable in $A_{p,q}$ with $0 \leq p \leq n,\ 1 \leq q \leq n$, if

$$\forall \omega \in A_{p,q}, \bar\partial\omega = 0,\ \exists f \in A_{p,q-1} :: \bar\partial f = \omega.$$

Now suppose $\bar\partial$ is solvable in $A_{p,q+1}$ and in $A_{p,q}$ and let $\omega \in B_{n-p,n-q}, \bar\partial\omega = 0$ still with $0 \leq p \leq n,\ 1 \leq q \leq n$, and consider the form

$$\forall \gamma \in A_{p,q+1},\ \bar\partial\gamma = 0,\ \mathcal{L}_\omega(\gamma) := \langle f, \omega\rangle,$$

with $\bar\partial f = \gamma$, which exists by hypothesis, where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the duality bracket.

Let us see if this form is well defined, as in lemma 3.5 :

if $\bar\partial f = \bar\partial g = \gamma$, then $\bar\partial(f-g) = 0$ hence $\exists\varphi \in A_{p,q-1} :: \bar\partial\varphi = f - g$ so

$$\langle f-g, \omega\rangle = \langle\bar\partial\varphi, \omega\rangle = (-1)^{p+q}\langle\varphi, \bar\partial\omega\rangle = 0,$$

because we have the compatibility between the two brackets.

Hence $\mathcal{L}_\omega$ is well defined and it is linear as in lemma 3.5.

As before set $\mathcal{H}_p(\Omega)$ the set of $(p,0)$ form f such that $\bar\partial f = 0$ in Ω.

For the special case $q = 0$, we automatically have that $\bar\partial\omega = 0$, because ω is a $(n-p,n)$ form, hence to still get that $\mathcal{L}_\omega(\gamma)$ is well defined if $\bar\partial\gamma = 0$, we need $\langle f, \omega\rangle = 0$ as soon as $f \in \mathcal{H}_p(\Omega)$.

We say that the equation $\bar\partial f = \omega$ is *continuously* solvable in $A_{p,q+1}$ if it is solvable in $A_{p,q}$ and in $A_{p,q+1}$ and if, moreover, $\mathcal{L}_\omega(\gamma)$ is a continuous linear form on the subspace $\gamma \in A_{p,q+1}, \bar\partial\gamma = 0$.

Theorem 4.5. *If the equation $\bar\partial f = \omega$ is continuously solvable in $A_{p,q+1}$ then we can solve it in the dual space $B_{n-p,n-q}$. This means that $\forall\omega \in B_{n-p,n-q}, \bar\partial\omega = 0$ if $1 \leq q \leq n-1$ and $\langle\omega, f\rangle = 0$ if $q = 0$ for $f \in \mathcal{H}_p(\Omega)$, there is a $u \in B_{n-p,n-q-1}$ such that $\bar\partial u = \omega$.*

Proof.

Let $\omega \in B_{n-p,n-q}, \bar\partial\omega = 0$ if $1 \leq q \leq n-1$ and $\langle\omega, f\rangle = 0$ if $q = n$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}_p(\Omega)$, and consider the form $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\omega$ defined above on the subspace $\gamma \in A_{p,q+1}, \bar\partial\gamma = 0$, which exists by hypothesis in $A_{p,q+1}$. This linear form $\mathcal{L}$ is well defined, linear and continuous by assumption, so by Hahn-Banach, it extends to the whole $A_{p,q+1}$ and is represented by a $u \in B_{n-p,n-q-1}$ by duality. So we have

$$\mathcal{L}(\gamma) = \langle\gamma, u\rangle = \langle\bar\partial f, u\rangle = \mathcal{L}_\omega(f) = \langle f, \omega\rangle,$$

but $\langle\bar\partial f, u\rangle = (-1)^{p+q}\langle f, \bar\partial u\rangle$ hence $\langle f, \omega\rangle = (-1)^{p+q}\langle f, \bar\partial u\rangle$ provided that $\bar\partial f = \gamma \in A_{p,q+1}$.

This is true for $\gamma = \bar\partial f$, where f is a (p,q) -form with coefficients in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, because $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \subset A$ and if $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega),\ \bar\partial f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \Rightarrow \bar\partial f \in A_{p,q+1}$;

hence we have

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)_{p,q},\ \langle f, \omega\rangle = (-1)^{p+q}\langle f, \bar\partial u\rangle,$$

so we find a $u \in B_{n-p,n-q-1}$ such that $(-1)^{p+q}\bar\partial u = \omega$. ■

This is again a avatar of Serre duality but with explicit *global* estimates.

Corollary 4.6. *Let Ω be a domain in a Stein manifold X such that the equation $\bar\partial u = f$ has a solution u in $L^{r,loc}_{p,q}(\Omega)$ if $f \in L^{r,loc}_{p,q+1}(\Omega)$ with the control :*

$$\forall j \in \mathbb{N},\ \|u\|_j \leq C_j\|f\|_j\ ;$$

then we can solve this equation with $u \in L^{r,c}_{p,q-1}(\Omega)$ if $f \in L^{r,c}_{p,q}(\Omega)$ and $\bar\partial f = 0$ if $q < n$, and $f \perp \mathcal{H}_p$ if $q = n$.

In particular this is true if Ω is Stein in X.

Proof.

We take for μ the Lebesgue measure on Ω and $A := L^{r',loc}(\Omega)$; we have clearly that $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \subset A \subset \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ and by theorem 4.1 we can solve the $\bar\partial$ equation for $(p,q+1)$ forms $\bar\partial$ closed with coefficients in A.

Let $\omega \in L^{r,c}_{n-p,n-q}(\Omega)$; we have to show that the form $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\omega$ is continuous on the subspace $\gamma \in A_{p,q+1}, \bar\partial\gamma = 0$, but we have with $\bar\partial f = \gamma$,

$$\mathcal{L}(\gamma) := \langle f, \omega\rangle \Rightarrow |\mathcal{L}(\gamma)| = |\langle f, \omega\rangle| \leq \|f\|_{L^r(\Omega_j)} \times \|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}.$$

The theorem 4.1 gives also that $\|f\|_{L^r(\Omega_j)} \leq C_j\|\gamma\|_{L^r(\Omega_{j+1})}$, where $\Omega_j \subset \Omega$ is a s.p.c. domain containing the support of γ. Hence

$$|\mathcal{L}(\gamma)| = |\langle f, \omega\rangle| \leq \|f\|_{L^r(\Omega_j)}\times\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \leq C_j\|\gamma\|_{L^r(\Omega_{j+1})}\times\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)},$$

and the continuity of $\mathcal{L}$, C_j and ω being fixed.
The $\bar\partial$ compatibility is clear because $B = A'$ is made of forms with compact support by theorem 4.3.

So by theorem 4.5 we can solve the $\bar\partial$ equation for forms with coefficients in the dual space of $L^{r',loc}(\Omega, \mu)$ which is, by theorem 4.3, $L^{r,c}(\Omega, dm)$.

By theorem 4.1 if Ω is Stein, then we can solve $\bar\partial$ in $L^{r,loc}(\Omega)$ hence in $L^{r,c}(\Omega)$, and the corollary. ■

References

[1] E. Amar. On the extension of c.r. functions. *Math. Z.*, 206:89–102, 1991.

[2] E. Amar and S. Mongodi. On L^r hypoellipticity of solutions with compact support of the Cauchy-Riemann equation. *To appear in Annali di Matematica Pura e Applicata.*, 2013.

[3] A. Andreotti and G. Grauert. Théorèmes de finitude pour la cohomologie des espaces complexes. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, 90:193–259, 1962.

[4] P. Charpentier. Formules explicites pour les solutions minimales de l'équation $\bar\partial u = f$ dans la boule et dans le polydisque de $\mathbb{C}^n$. *Annales de l'institut Fourier*, 30(4):121–154, 1980.

[5] J-P. Demailly and C. Laurent-Thiébaut. Formules intégrales pour les formes différentielles de type (p, q) dans les variétés de Stein. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, 4(4):579–598, 1987.

[6] G. Henkin and J. Leiterer. *Theory of functions on complex manifolds.* Mathematische Monographien. Akademie-Verlag Berlin, 1984.

[7] L. Hörmander. *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables.* North-Holland/American Elsevier, 1994.

[8] N. Kerzman. Hölder and L^p estimates for solutions of $\bar\partial u = f$ in strongly pseudoconvex domains. *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.*, 24:301–379, 1971.

[9] C. Menini. Estimations pour la résolution du $\bar\partial$ sur une intersection d'ouverts strictement pseudoconvexes. *Math. Z.*, 1:87–93, 1997.

[10] N. Ovrelid. Integral representation formulas and L^p estimates for the $\bar\partial$ equation. *Math. Scand.*, 29:137–160, 1971.

[11] A.P. Robertson and Wendy Robertson. *Topological vector spaces.*, volume 53 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical physics.* 1966.

[12] J-P. Serre. Un théorème de dualité. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 29:9–26, 1955.